	Case 2:22-cv-02231-TLN-JDP Docume	ent 37 Filed 10/18/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EDDIE L. YOUNG,	Case No. 2:22-cv-02231-TLN-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	V.	GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER AND
14	ROBERT BURTON, et al.,	DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO MOVE HIS DEPOSITION
15	Defendants.	ECF Nos. 35 & 36
16		2017(00.00 00 00
17		
18	Defendants move to modify the April 30, 2024 scheduling order and plaintiff moves to	
19	change the date of his deposition. ECF Nos. 35 & 36. Defendants seek to file two successive	
20	dispositive motions; the first being a failure to exhaust motion by November 1, 2024, and if	
21	necessary, a second merits-based motion for summary judgment 120 days after the court rules on	
22	the failure to exhaust motion. ECF No. 35. Good cause appearing, that request will be granted.	
23	Plaintiff seeks to move the date of his deposition to September 26, 2024. ECF No. 36. Since the	
24	deposition date has passed, plaintiff's motion will be denied as moot.	
25	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:	
26	1. Defendants' motion to modify the scheduling order, ECF No. 35, granted.	
27	2. Defendants' exhaustion motion for	r summary judgment is due by November 1, 2024.
28		

## Case 2:22-cv-02231-TLN-JDP Document 37 Filed 10/18/24 Page 2 of 2 3. Defendants' merits-based motion, if necessary, is due 120 days after the court rules on the exhaustion motion. 4. Plaintiff's motion to change the date of his deposition, ECF No. 36, is denied as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>October 18, 2024</u> JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE